



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CP
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,568	05/15/2001	Samuel Bogoch	9425/46702	8438
<div><div>7590</div><div>KENYON & KENYON Suite 700 1500 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005</div></div>				
			<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>SAUNDERS, DAVID A</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>1644</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE</div> <div>01/31/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

854,568 BOGOCH

EXAMINER

D. SAUNDERS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1644

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) TERESA LA VENUE (3) DAVID SAUNDERS
(2) DAREN NICHOLSON (4) CHRISTINA CHAN

Date of Interview 8/23/07

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 2, 5

Identification of prior art discussed: BOGOCH (4,840,915)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANT URGED THAT ANTI-MALIGNIN ABS KILL CELLS IN VITRO THAT INJECTION OF MALIGNIN INDUCES ABS IN VIVO (EX 8), AND THAT IV INJECTED ABS LOCALIZE TO BRAIN (EX 2). APPLICANT TO FURTHER PRESENT DECLARATION RE REASONS FOR LACK OF UNDUE EXPERIMENTATION. APPLICANT TO FURTHER CONSIDER COMPOSITION CLAIM 5 WITH RESPECT TO PRIOR ART. APPLICANT TO AMEND CLAIM 2 FROM A "PROCESS" TO A --METHOD-- CLAIM.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

David A Saunders